In the outstanding Office Action, claims 1, 8-14, 16-26, 28-30, and 32-34 of the present application were rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting ("OTDP") with respect to claims 1-12 in U.S. Pat. No. 6,193,388 ("388"). Examiner stated that:

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Office Action 12/15/04, pg. 2¶1. Therefore, in response to the double patenting rejection, and without admitting the propriety of the rejection, the assignee, MAG INSTRUMENT, INC., is filing herewith a terminal disclaimer over U.S. Pat. No. 6,193,388 to overcome the OTDP rejection of claims 1, 8-14, 16-24, 30, 32 -34. Said terminal disclaimer should overcome Examiner's basis for the rejection of these claims.

The outstanding Office Action also objected to claims 2-7, 15, 24, 27, and 31 of the present application. The objection was based on the fact that these claims depended from those claims rejected for OTDP. Applicant believes that, with the enclosed terminal disclaimer and traversing argument of those rejected claims, the objection to these claims becomes moot.

Applicant does not believe that a petition fee or extension fee is owed in connection with this petition. If Applicant is incorrect in this belief, however, Applicant requests that any petition fee, extension fee, or any other fee due with this petition be charged to Deposit Account No. 50-2468.

2

In view of the foregoing, reconsideration and allowance of this application are earnestly solicited.

LAI-2171024v2

Respectfully submitted,

**JONES DAY** 

Dated: June 14, 2005

By:

Mary A. Tuck Reg. No. 47,144

555 West Fifth Street Suite 4600 Los Angeles, California 90013-1025 213-489-3939

PATENT TRADEMARK OFFICE